arXiv:1612.06517v1 [math-ph] 20 Dec 2016

Selberg integral theory and Muttalib–Borodin ensembles

P. J. Forrester and J. R. Ipsen

School of Mathematics and Statistics, ARC Centre of Excellence for Mathematical and Statistical Frontiers
The University of Melbourne, Victoria 3010, Australia

December 21, 2016

Abstract

We study Muttalib–Borodin ensembles — particular eigenvalue PDFs on the half-line — with classical weights, i.e. Laguerre, Jacobi or Jacobi prime. We show how the theory of the Selberg integral, involving also Jack and Schur polynomials, naturally leads to a multi-parameter generalisation of these particular Muttalib–Borodin ensembles, and also to the explicit form of underlying biorthogonal polynomials of a single variable. A suitable generalisation of the original definition of the Muttalib–Borodin ensemble allows for negative eigenvalues. In the cases of generalised Gaussian, symmetric Jacobi and Cauchy weights, we show that the problem of computing the normalisations and the biorthogonal polynomials can be reduced down to Muttalib–Borodin ensembles with classical weights on the positive half-line.

1 Introduction

1.1 Statement of the problem and summary of results

Our study centres around the the family of probability density functions (PDFs)

$$\mathcal{P}_N(x_1,\dots,x_N) = \frac{1}{Z_N} \prod_{1\le i<j\le N} (x_j - x_i)(x_j^\theta - x_i^\theta) \prod_{k=1}^N w(x_k), \quad x_k \in \mathbb{R}^+, \tag{1}$$

where Z_N is a normalisation constant, $\theta > 0$ is a deformation parameter and $w(x) \ge 0$ is a weight function. For reasons discussed in the next subsection, these PDFs are referred to as Muttalib–Borodin ensembles. Our interest is in the case that $w(x)$ is a classical weight. We recall (see e.g. [15, §5.4.3]) that $w(x)$ is referred to as being classical if its logarithmic derivative is a rational function,

$$\frac{w'(x)}{w(x)} = -\frac{g(x)}{f(x)},$$

with f and g are polynomials with no common factors such that their degrees are no bigger than two and one respectively. Requiring also that $w(x)$ vanishes on its boundary of support, which

in turn is contained in $\mathbb{R}^+$, the only possibilities (up to trivial rescaling) are

$$w(x) = \begin{cases} x^a e^{-x}, & x \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad \text{Laguerre} \\ x^a (1-x)^b, & x \in (0,1), \quad \text{Jacobi} \\ x^\alpha/(1+x)^\beta, & x \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad \text{Jacobi prime} \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

The first two weight in (2) may be transformed as $x \mapsto 1/x$, but this lead to equivalent ensembles, since the products of differences in (1) are unchanged by this mapping, up to a factor of $\prod_{i=1}^N x_i^p$ for some p.

It is our aim to relate the ensembles (1) with a classical weight to the theory of the Selberg integral; see [35, 22] and [15, Ch. 4]. For this purpose one recalls that the Selberg weight refers to the PDF

$$\frac{1}{S_N(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \tau)} \prod_{l=1}^N x_l^{\alpha_1 - 1} (1-x_l)^{\alpha_2 - 1} \prod_{1 \le j < k \le N} |x_j - x_k|^{2\tau}, \quad 0 < x_l < 1, \tag{3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_N(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \tau) &:= \int_{[0,1]^N} \prod_{i=1}^N x_i^{\alpha_1 - 1} (1-x_i)^{\alpha_2 - 1} \prod_{1 \le j < k \le N} |x_j - x_k|^{2\tau} \, dx_1 \cdots dx_N \\ &= \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + j\tau)\Gamma(\alpha_2 + j\tau)\Gamma(1 + (j+1)\tau)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + (N+j-1)\tau)\Gamma(1+\tau)}, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

is the Selberg integral [35]. We see that in the case $\tau = 1$, this corresponds to the $\theta = 1$ Jacobi Muttalib–Borodin ensemble, i.e. (1) with the Jacobi weight (2), which in turn is the familiar Jacobi unitary ensemble from classical random matrix theory (see e.g. [15, Ch. 3]). To make contact with (1) for general $\theta > 0$, it is again the $\tau = 1$ case of (3) which is relevant, but now augmented by the inclusion of an extra Schur polynomial factor (see (12) below for its definition).

A generalisation of the PDFs (1) permitting negative values is

$$\mathcal{P}_N(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \frac{1}{Z_N} \prod_{1 \le i < j \le N} (x_j - x_i)((\operatorname{sgn} x_j)|x_j|^\theta - (\operatorname{sgn} x_i)|x_i|^\theta) \prod_{k=1}^N w(x_k), \quad x_k \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{5}$$

This allows for three further classical (for $c = 0$) weight functions

$$w(x) = \begin{cases} |x|^{2c} e^{-x^2}, & x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{generalised Gaussian} \\ |x|^{2c}(1-x^2)^\alpha, & x \in (-1,1), \quad \text{generalised symmetric Jacobi} \\ |x|^{2c}/(1+x^2)^\alpha, & x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{generalised Cauchy.} \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

A significant feature of these weights in subsequent analysis is that they are all even. It follows that, with a suitable identification of the parameters, the change of variables $x^2 = y$ maps the weights (6) to the weights (2), and consequently from the full real line to the positive half-line.

In Section 2 we make use of Jack polynomials to extend the Selberg weight to involve N parameters $\{\gamma_i\}_{i=1}^N$. Specialising to $\tau = 1$, a so-called polynomial ensemble results, which, with the γ_i appropriately chosen, gives the Jacobi Muttalib–Borodin ensemble for general $\theta > 0$.

A limiting case of this gives the Laguerre Muttalib–Borodin ensemble. A different integration formula involving the Selberg weight and Jack polynomials allows for the Jacobi prime Muttalib–Borodin ensemble to be deduced through analogous working. A practical consequence of this line of theory is that it gives the normalisation in (1) for the weights (2). In Section 2.5 we show how an underlying parity symmetry of (5) with the weights (6) allows the normalisations for this class of Muttalib-Borodin models to be deduced as corollaries from knowledge of the normalisations for (1) with weights (2).

Associated with a Muttalib–Borodin ensemble are two families polynomials in a single variable, $\{p_k(x)\}$ and $\{q_k(x)\}$, which satisfy a biorthogonal relation

$$\int w(x) p_k(x) q_\ell(x^\theta)\, dx = h_k \delta_{k\ell}, \qquad k, \ell = 0, 1, \dots, N-1. \tag{7}$$

We show in Section 3 how, for the weights (2) and (6), Selberg integral theory can be used to give the explicit form of these polynomials. For the weights (2), these polynomials can be specified as the averaged characteristic polynomial for (1) with $N = k$, and the averaged characteristic polynomial of the underlying matrix raised to the power of θ respectively. The latter is the most straightforward to compute using Selberg integral theory. To compute the polynomials $\{p_k(x)\}$, we make use of the multi-parameter generalisation of the Muttalib–Borodin ensemble introduced in Section 2 to obtain a structured expression for the averaged characteristic polynomial and then specialise the parameters. The biorthogonal polynomials for the weights (6) follows as a corollary of knowledge of the biorthogonal polynomials for the weights (2).

1.2 Context of the Muttalib–Borodin model in random matrix theory

The PDFs (1) were introduced into random matrix theory by Muttalib [34]. When the deformation parameter equals unity ($\theta = 1$), (1) is the functional form of the eigenvalue PDF for an ensemble $\{H\}$ of positive definite Hermitian matrices distributed according to the PDF on the matrices $e^{-\mathrm{Tr}\, V(H)}$ and, hence, $w(x) = e^{-V(x)}$ (see e.g. [15, Ch. 1 and 3]). Muttalib's interest was in the limit $\theta \to 0^+$ when the PDF (1) corresponds to a simplification of the eigenvalue PDF found by Beenakker and Rajei [2, 3] one year earlier in their exact solution of the so-called DMPK equation (Dorokhov–Mello–Pereyra–Kumar [33]) in the theory of quantum conductance.

It is questionable whether Muttalib's ansatz (1) allows quantitative predictions in the context where they were proposed. On the other hand, the $\theta = 2$ case of this same functional form subsequently appeared in the study of other physical models, such as disordered bosons [30] (with $w(x) = e^{-x}$) and matter coupled to two-dimensional quantum gravity [11, 10]. For general $\theta > 0$ and $w(x) = e^{-x}$, (1) was shown to result from a model of last passage percolation based on the Robinson-Knuth-Schensted correspondence [20]. Borodin [4] made considerable progress on the mathematical aspects of the correlation functions associated with the PDF (1). In particular, he showed that the local scaling regime near the hard edge of these ensembles with classical weight functions (see below for an explanation of this notion) gave rise to new correlation kernels involving Wright's Bessel function, generalising the classical Bessel kernel [13]. This exit from ordinary random matrix statistics, of course, added to the interest in such ensembles, at least from a theoretical viewpoint.

Recently, the PDFs (1) have drawn renewed attention in the random matrix literature [7, 28, 6, 19, 21, 18] with the name "Muttalib–Borodin ensembles" coined in [21]. This increase in

attention is justified by newly discovered links to several applications, for example to triangular random matrix models [6] and to certain combinatorial numbers [19]. Prominently, there also exists an intimate connection to products of random matrices and free probability when either θ or $1/\theta$ is an integer [28].

2 Selberg integral theory and normalisation

In this section, we briefly recall how the theory of symmetric polynomials is related to the Selberg integral theory. Using this relation, we compute the normalisations for all classical Muttalib–Borodin ensembles.

2.1 Jack polynomials and probability density functions

The N variables in the Selberg weight (3) is only coupled through the product of differences

$$\prod_{1\le j<k\le N} |x_j - x_k|^{2\tau}.$$

Associated with this product is a family of multi-variable symmetric polynomials $P_\kappa^{(1/\tau)}(t_1,\dots,t_N)$, referred to as Jack polynomials (see e.g. [15, Ch. 12], [29]). Here $\kappa = (\kappa_1,\dots,\kappa_N)$ is an ordered array of non-negative integers $\kappa_1 \ge \kappa_2 \ge \cdots \ge \kappa_N$, or equivalently a partition with no more than N parts. These polynomials have the structure

$$P_\kappa^{(1/\tau)}(x_1,\dots,x_N) = m_\kappa + \sum_{\mu<\kappa} c_{\kappa,\mu} m_\mu,$$

where $m_\rho = m_\rho(x_1,\dots,x_N)$ is the monomial symmetric polynomial indexed by ρ (i.e. the symmetrisation of $\prod_{i=1}^N x_i^{\kappa_i}$ appropriately normalised), the $c_{\kappa,\mu}$ are expansion coefficients that depend on τ, and $\mu < \kappa$ is the partial ordering on partitions specified by the requirement that $\sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i \le \sum_{i=1}^m \kappa_i$ for each $m = 1,\dots,N$.

One way the Jack polynomials are related to the product of differences is through the orthogonality

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}^N} \frac{dz_1}{2\pi i\, z_1}\cdots\frac{dz_N}{2\pi i\, z_N} \prod_{1\le j<k\le N} |z_k - z_j|^{2\tau} P_\kappa^{(1/\tau)}(z_1,\dots,z_N) P_\nu^{(1/\tau)}(\bar z_1,\dots,\bar z_N) \propto \delta_{\kappa,\nu},$$

where $\mathcal{C}$ is the unit circle about the origin in the complex plane. More significant for our present purposes is the integration formula, conjectured by MacDonald [31], and subsequently proved by Kadell [25] and Kaneko [26] (see [15, Eq. (12.143)])

$$\frac{1}{S_N(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tau)} \int_{(0,1)^N} dx_1\cdots dx_N \prod_{l=1}^N x_l^{\alpha_1-1}(1-x_l)^{\alpha_2-1} \prod_{1\le j<k\le N} |x_j - x_k|^{2\tau} P_\lambda^{(1/\tau)}(x_1,\dots,x_N)$$
$$= \frac{(\alpha_1 + (N-1)\tau)_\lambda^{(1/\tau)}}{(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+(N-2)\tau)_\lambda^{(1/\tau)}} P_\lambda^{(1/\tau)}((1)^N), \quad (8)$$

where

$$(a)_\lambda^{(1/\gamma)} := \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{\Gamma(a-(k-1)\gamma+\lambda_k)}{\Gamma(a-(k-1)\gamma)}, \tag{9}$$

denotes a generalised Pochhammer symbol. The notation $(1)^N$ in the last factor of (8) denotes 1 repeated N times. A product formula for $P_\lambda^{(1/\tau)}((1)^N)$ involving τ and the parts of λ is known; see e.g. [15, Eq. (12.105)].

It follows from the combinatorial expression for the Jack polynomials involving a sum over semi-standard tableaux [27] that the Jack polynomials are positive for the x_i positive. Hence the integration formula (8) can be used to specify a normalised PDF supported on $(0,1)^N$

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}_\lambda(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tau)} \prod_{l=1}^N x_l^{\alpha_1-1}(1-x_l)^{\alpha_2-1} \prod_{1\le j<k\le N} |x_j-x_k|^{2\tau} P_\lambda^{(1/\tau)}(x_1,\dots,x_N), \tag{10}$$

where

$$\mathcal{N}_\lambda(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tau) = S_N(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tau)\frac{(\alpha_1+(N-1)\tau+1)_\lambda^{(1/\tau)}}{(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+(N-2)\tau+2)_\lambda^{(1/\tau)}} P_\lambda^{(1/\tau)}((1)^N). \tag{11}$$

This is the viewpoint that relates to the Jacobi Muttalib–Borodin ensemble, as we will proceed to demonstrate.

2.2 Schur polynomials and the Jacobi Muttalib–Borodin ensemble

We now specialise to $\tau = 1$. We then have that the Jack polynomials can be identified as the Schur polynomials s_λ, which in turn can be expressed as a ratio of determinants (see e.g. [15, Prop. 10.1.5]),

$$P_\lambda^{(1)}(x_1,\dots,x_N) = s_\lambda(x_1,\dots,x_N) = \frac{\det\left[x_j^{N-i+\lambda_i}\right]_{1\le i,j\le N}}{\det\left[x_j^{N-i}\right]_{1\le i,j\le N}}. \tag{12}$$

The determinant in the denominator is the Vandermonde determinant, with the well known evaluation

$$\det\left[x_j^{N-i}\right]_{1\le i,j\le N} = \prod_{1\le i<j\le N}(x_i-x_j) \tag{13}$$

(see e.g. [15, Eq. (1.173)]). The PDF (10) then specialises to a so-called polynomial ensemble [28], meaning that it is of the form

$$\frac{1}{C_N}\prod_{1\le i<j\le N}(x_i-x_j)\det[g_j(x_k)]_{j,k=1,\dots,N} \tag{14}$$

for certain $\{g_j(x)\}$.

Proposition 2.1. *The functional form*

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}_\lambda^{\mathrm{J}}(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,1)} \prod_{l=1}^N x_l^{\alpha_1-1}(1-x_l)^{\alpha_2-1} \prod_{1\le i<j\le N}(x_i-x_j)\det\left[x_j^{N-i+\lambda_i}\right]_{1\le i,j\le N}, \tag{15}$$

where

$$\mathcal{N}_\lambda^{\mathrm{J}}(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,1)=\prod_{k=1}^{N}\frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1+k-1+\lambda_{N-k+1})\Gamma(\alpha_2+k-1)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+N+k-2+\lambda_{N-k+1})}\prod_{1\le i<j\le N}(\lambda_i-\lambda_j+j-i) \tag{16}$$

is a PDF on $(0,1)^N$.

Proof. Substituting (12) with the denominator simplified according to the Vandermonde determinant evaluation (13) gives (15), but with normalisation

$$\mathcal{N}_\lambda^{\mathrm{J}}(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,1)=S_N(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,1)\frac{(\alpha_1+N-1)_\lambda^{(1)}}{(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2N-2)_\lambda^{(1)}}. \tag{17}$$

The expression (16) follows from this by making use of the formula (see e.g. [15, Eq. (10.23)])

$$s_\lambda((1)^N)=\prod_{1\le i<j\le N}\frac{\lambda_i-\lambda_j+j-i}{j-i} \tag{18}$$

together with the generalised Pochhammer symbol (9) and the Selberg integral (4). □

Remark 2.2. In the derivation of (15), the parameters $\{\lambda_i\}$ are non-negative integers. However, an application of Carlson's theorem (see e.g. [15, Prop. 4.1.4]) shows that the value of the normalisation remains valid for continuous values of $\{\lambda_i\}$.

It only remains to extract the normalisation for the Jacobi Muttalib–Borodin ensemble from Proposition 2.1 by choosing the parameters correctly. Write

$$\gamma_i=N-i+\lambda_i+\alpha_1-1, \tag{19}$$

then (15) and (16) reads

$$\frac{1}{N!\,C_N^{\mathrm{J}}}\prod_{1\le i<j\le N}\frac{1}{(\gamma_i-\gamma_j)}\prod_{l=1}^{N}(1-x_l)^{\alpha_2-1}\prod_{1\le i<j\le N}(x_i-x_j)\det[x_j^{\gamma_i}]_{i,j=1,\dots,N} \tag{20}$$

where

$$C_N^{\mathrm{J}}=\prod_{l=1}^{N}\frac{\Gamma(\gamma_l+1)\Gamma(l-1+\alpha_2)}{\Gamma(\gamma_l+N+\alpha_2)}. \tag{21}$$

An explicit random matrix ensemble with eigenvalue PDF (20) has recently been identified. To specify this ensemble, let $Y=[y_{j,k}]_{j,k=1,\dots,N}$ and $Z=[z_{j,k}]_{j,k=1,\dots,N}$ be upper-triangular random matrices with all non-zero entries independent. Let the strictly upper triangular entries be distributed as standard Gaussians and the diagonal entries be real and positive and given in terms of gamma distributions by the requirement that $|y_{k,k}|^2=\Gamma[\gamma_k+1,1]$ and $|z_{k,k}|^2=\Gamma[\beta_k+1,1]$. Then according to [17, Corollary 2.14 with $n=N$] the eigenvalue PDF of the random matrix $(\mathbb{I}_N+Z^\dagger Z(Y^\dagger Y)^{-1})^{-1}$ is precisely (20).

As noted in [17, Corollary 2.14], the PDF (20) contains the Jacobi Muttalib–Borodin model as a special case. Thus set $\gamma_j=\theta(j-1)+c$. The determinant can then be evaluated as a

product since it is an example of a Vandermonde determinant, and (20) reduces to (1) with the Jacobi weight from (2), parameters $a = c$ and $b = \alpha_2 - 1$. Reinstating the parameters a, b, the corresponding normalisation of Jacobi Muttalib–Borodin ensemble is

$$\frac{1}{Z_N^{\mathrm{J}}} = \frac{1}{\theta^{N(N-1)/2}} \prod_{l=1}^{N} \frac{\Gamma(\theta(l-1)+N+a+b+1)}{\Gamma(\theta(l-1)+a+1)\Gamma(l-1+b+1)\Gamma(l+1)}. \tag{22}$$

Note that we have $Z_N^{\mathrm{J}}|_{\theta=1} = S_N(a, b, 1)$ as required.

2.3 Laguerre Muttalib–Borodin ensemble

It is well known (see e.g. [15, §4.7.1]) that the Selberg density (3), upon the scaling $x_l \mapsto x_l/\alpha_2$, and in the limit $\alpha_2 \to \infty$ reduces to the Laguerre Selberg density

$$\frac{1}{W_N(\alpha_1, \tau)} \prod_{l=1}^{N} x_l^{\alpha_1 - 1} e^{-x_l} \prod_{1 \le j < k \le N} |x_j - x_k|^{2\tau}, \quad x_l \in \mathbb{R}^+ \tag{23}$$

where

$$W_N(\alpha_1, \tau) = \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{\Gamma(1+(j+1)\tau)\Gamma(\alpha_1 + j\tau)}{\Gamma(1+\tau)}.$$

This same scaling and limiting procedure can be applied to the PDF of Proposition 2.1.

Corollary 2.3. *The functional form*

$$\frac{1}{N!} \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}_\lambda^{\mathrm{L}}(\alpha_1)} \prod_{l=1}^{N} x_l^{\alpha_1 - 1} e^{-x_l} \prod_{1 \le i < j \le N} (x_i - x_j) \det\left[x_j^{N-i+\lambda_i}\right]_{1 \le i,j \le N}, \tag{24}$$

where

$$\mathcal{N}_\lambda^{\mathrm{L}}(\alpha_1) = \prod_{k=1}^{N} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + k - 1 + \lambda_k) \prod_{1 \le i < j \le N} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j + j - i) \tag{25}$$

is a PDF on $(\mathbb{R}^+)^N$.

Substituting for λ_i in favour of γ_i according to (19) gives the PDF

$$\frac{1}{N!\, C_N^{\mathrm{L}}} \prod_{1 \le i < j \le N} \frac{1}{(\gamma_i - \gamma_j)} \prod_{l=1}^{N} e^{-x_l} \prod_{1 \le i < j \le N} (x_i - x_j) \det[x_j^{\gamma_i}]_{i,j=1,\dots,N}, \tag{26}$$

where

$$C_N^{\mathrm{L}} = \prod_{l=1}^{N} \Gamma(\gamma_l + 1)$$

This was first isolated in the work of Cheliotis [6] as the eigenvalue PDF of the random matrix $Y^\dagger Y$, where Y is the random upper triangular matrix specified below (20). Setting $\gamma_j = \theta(j-1) + c$ shows that the determinant can then be evaluated as a product since it is an example of a

Vandermonde determinant, and (26) reduces to (1) with the Laguerre weight from (2), parameter $a = c$, as already noticed in [6]. In particular, after reinstating the parameter a, we have for the normalisation Laguerre Muttalib–Borodin ensemble

$$\frac{1}{Z_N^{\mathrm{L}}} = \frac{1}{\theta^{N(N-1)/2}} \frac{1}{\prod_{l=1}^N \Gamma(\theta(l-1)+a+1)\Gamma(l+1)}. \tag{27}$$

Again note that we have $Z_N^{\mathrm{L}}|_{\theta=1} = W_N(a-1,1)$ as required.

2.4 Jacobi prime Muttalib–Borodin ensemble

The beta density refers to the PDF supported on $(0,1)$

$$\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} x^{\alpha-1}(1-x)^{\beta-1}.$$

Changing variables $y = x/(1-x)$ gives the PDF supported on $(0,\infty)$,

$$\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} y^{\alpha-1}(1+y)^{-\alpha-\beta},$$

referred to as the beta prime distribution [38]. Since the functional form in the first is the Jacobi weight, we refer to the functional form in the second as the Jacobi prime weight; recall (2). Only in the case $\theta = 1$ of (1) does this change of variables leave the products of differences unchanged, after factorisation of terms not involving the coupling of the variables. Nonetheless a generalisation of (8) involving the Jacobi prime weight is known in the literature [37, 12]. In the case $\tau = 1$ it reads

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{S_N(a+1,b+1,1)} \int_0^\infty dx_1 \cdots \int_0^\infty dx_N \prod_{l=1}^N \frac{x_l^a}{(1+x_l)^{a+b+2N}} \prod_{1\le i<j\le N} (x_i - x_j)^2 s_\lambda(x_1,\dots,x_N) \\ &= \frac{(a+N)_\lambda^{(1)}}{(-1)^{|\lambda|}(-b)_\lambda^{(1)}} s_\lambda((1)^N) = \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{\Gamma(a+N-k+\lambda_k)}{\Gamma(a+k)} \frac{\Gamma(b+k-\lambda_k)}{\Gamma(b+k)} s_\lambda((1)^N). \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

We remark that a special case of (28) can also be found in [23].

Inserting the determinant formula (12) for $s_\lambda(x_1,\dots,x_N)$ and product formula for $s_\lambda((1)^N)$ (18), we conclude from (28) the analogue of Proposition 2.1.

Proposition 2.4. *The functional form*

$$\frac{1}{N!} \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}_\lambda^{\mathrm{Jp}}(a,b)} \prod_{l=1}^N \frac{x_l^a}{(1+x_l)^{a+b+2N}} \prod_{1\le i<j\le N} (x_i - x_j) \det\left[x_j^{N-i+\lambda_i}\right]_{1\le i,j\le N}, \tag{29}$$

where

$$\mathcal{N}_\lambda^{\mathrm{Jp}}(a,b) = \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{\Gamma(a+N+1-k+\lambda_k)\Gamma(b+k-\lambda_k)}{\Gamma(a+b+N+k)} \prod_{1\le i<j\le N} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j + j - i) \tag{30}$$

is a PDF on $(\mathbb{R}^+)^N$. This is well defined for $\lambda_1 < b+1$.

We now write $a+N-i+\lambda_i=\gamma_i$ and $a+b=d$ to read off from (29) and (30) the PDF

$$\frac{1}{N!\,C_N^{\mathrm{Jp}}}\prod_{1\le i<j\le N}\frac{1}{(\gamma_i-\gamma_j)}\prod_{l=1}^{N}\frac{1}{(1+x_l)^{d+2N}}\prod_{1\le i<j\le N}(x_i-x_j)\det[x_j^{\gamma_i}]_{i,j=1,\dots,N}, \tag{31}$$

where

$$C_N^{\mathrm{Jp}}=\prod_{k=1}^{N}\frac{\Gamma(1+\gamma_k)\Gamma(d+N-\gamma_k)}{\Gamma(d+N+k)}. \tag{32}$$

Setting $\gamma_j=\theta(j-1)+c$ shows that (31) reduces to (1) with the Jacobi prime weight from (2), parameter $\alpha=c$, $\beta=d+2N$ and normalisation in terms of the original parameters

$$\frac{1}{Z_N^{\mathrm{Jp}}}=\frac{1}{\theta^{N(N-1)/2}}\prod_{k=1}^{N}\frac{\Gamma(\beta-N+k)}{\Gamma(\theta(k-1)+\alpha+1)\Gamma(\beta-\alpha-N-\theta(k-1))\Gamma(k+1)}. \tag{33}$$

2.5 Muttalib–Borodin ensembles allowing negative eigenvalues

We now turn our attention to calculating the normalisation for the Muttalib–Borodin ensembles supported on the full real line, i.e. PDFs (5) with the weights (6). The tractability of this task relies on exploiting an underlying parity symmetry. In the case $\theta=1$, when (2) is the PDF for an Hermitian matrix ensemble with a unitary symmetry, this property was previously identified in [14].

Proposition 2.5. *Augment the notation Z_N for the normalisations in (1) and (5) to include the weight $w(x)$ by writing $Z_N[w(x)]$, and further write $Z_N^+[w(x)]$ for (1) when all eigenvalues are positive. Let*

$$N_1:=\lfloor (N+1)/2\rfloor, \qquad N_2=\lfloor N/2\rfloor, \tag{34}$$

and suppose $w(x)$ is an even function of x. We have

$$Z_N[w(x)]=\frac{N!}{N_1!N_2!}Z_{N_1}^+[x^{-1/2}w(x^{1/2})]Z_{N_2}^+[x^{\theta/2}w(x^{\theta/2})]. \tag{35}$$

In particular

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_N[|x|^{2c}e^{-x^2}]&=Z_{N_1}^+[x^{c-1/2}e^{-x}]Z_{N_2}^+[x^{c+\theta/2}e^{-x}]\\
Z_N[|x|^{2c}(1-x^2)^a]&=Z_{N_1}^+[x^{c-1/2}(1-x)^a]Z_{N_2}^+[x^{c+\theta/2}(1-x)^a]\\
Z_N[|x|^{2c}/(1+x^2)^a]&=Z_{N_1}^+[x^{c-1/2}/(1+x)^a]Z_{N_2}^+[x^{c+\theta/2}/(1+x)^a)].
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Proof. Applying (13) to write the two products of differences in (5) as Vandermonde determinants, it is then a standard calculation (see e.g. [15, Proof of Prop. 5.2.1]) to express $Z_N[w(x)]$ as a single determinant,

$$Z_N[w(x)]=N!\det\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}w(x)|x|^{j-1+\theta(k-1)}(\mathrm{sgn}\,(x))^{j+k}\,dx\right]_{j,k=1,\dots,N}. \tag{37}$$

Under the assumption that $w(x)$ is even, the matrix in (37) has a chequerboard structure, with elements in positions (j,k) with $j+k$ odd equal to zero. Rearranging the order of rows and columns shows that the determinant can be written in a block (and therefore factored) form

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} A & 0_{N_1 \times N_2} \\ 0_{N_2 \times N_1} & B \end{bmatrix} = \det A \det B, \tag{38}$$

where N_1, N_2 are specified by (34), and

$$A = \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(x)|x|^{2j-2+\theta(2k-2)}\, dx \right]_{j,k=1}^{N_1}, \quad B = \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(x)|x|^{2j-1+\theta(2k-1)}\, dx \right]_{j,k=1}^{N_2}.$$

Consider the matrix A. We change variables $x^2 = y$ in the integrand so that the general element reads $\int_0^\infty y^{-1/2} w(y^{1/2}) y^{j-1+\theta(k-1)}\, dy$. On the other hand, the application of analogous working which led to (37) shows if $u(x)$ is a weight with support on the positive half-line, then

$$Z_n[u(x)] = n! \det \left[\int_0^\infty u(x) x^{j-1+\theta(k-1)}\, dx \right]_{j,k=1}^{n}.$$

Thus, by comparison we have $A = \frac{1}{N_1!} Z^+_{N_1}[x^{-1/2} w(x^{1/2})]$. Similarly, $B = \frac{1}{N_2!} Z^+_{N_2}[x^{\theta/2} w(x^{1/2})]$. Substituting in (38) gives (35). □

The normalisations of the ensembles (5) with weights (6) readily follows from Proposition 2.5 together with previously found normalisations: (22), (27), and (33).

3 Selberg integral theory and biorthogonal systems

Consider two families of monic polynomials, $\{p_k(x)\}$ and $\{q_k(x)\}$. By adding appropriate columns in the Vandermonde determinant (13), we see that for the products of differences in (1) we can write

$$\prod_{1 \le j < k \le N} (x_k - x_j) = \det[p_{j-1}(x_k)]_{j,k=1}^N, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \prod_{1 \le j < k \le N} (x_k^\theta - x_j^\theta) = \det[q_{j-1}(x_k^\theta)]_{j,k=1}^N.$$

It is a standard result in random matrix theory (see e.g. [15, §5.8]) that by choosing $\{p_k(x)\}$ and $\{q_k(x)\}$ to have the biorthogonal property (7), the n-point correlation function has the evaluation

$$R_n^N(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \det_{1 \le i,j \le n} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{p_k(x_i) q_k(x_j^\theta)}{h_k} \right]. \tag{39}$$

It is also an easy result to show that the normalisation Z_N in (1) can be expressed in terms of the normalisations $\{h_k\}$ for the biorthogonal polynomials according to

$$Z_N = N! \prod_{k=0}^{N-1} h_k. \tag{40}$$

The study of the biorthogonal polynomials associated with the Jacobi and Laguerre weights can be traced back to Didon [9] and Deruyts [8]; these references were brought to modern

day attention in [1]. In particular, the explicit series expansion was given for the polynomials $\{q_k(x)\}$, and a Rodrigues type formula given for the polynomials $\{p_k(x)\}$. In the Laguerre case, unaware of these earlier works, Konhauser [24] rediscovered these formulae, obtained the normalisation, and also gave integral representations of both families of polynomials, which are now sometimes referred to as the Konhauser biorthogonal polynomials. Our aim in this section is to demonstrate how Selberg integral theory relates to the determination of the biorthogonal systems for the weights (2) and (6). In doing so, we will use the fact that the monic biorthogonal polynomials corresponding to the PDF (1) can be written in terms of the averages with respect to the same PDF for $N = k$

$$p_k(x) = \mathbb{E}\Big[\prod_{l=1}^{k}(x - x_l)\Big], \qquad q_k(x) = \mathbb{E}\Big[\prod_{l=1}^{k}(x - x_l^{\theta})\Big]. \tag{41}$$

For $\theta = 1$, this is the well-known Heine formula (see e.g. [15, Prop. 5.1.4]). The generalisation of the Heine formula to all $\theta > 0$ is trivial and has already been made explicit in [21, Eq. (3.3)].

3.1 Normalisations

For the weights (2), the normalisations $\{h_k\}$ follow from (22), (27), (33) as a consequence of (40).

Proposition 3.1. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} h_k^{\mathrm{J}} &= \frac{\Gamma(\theta k + a + 1)\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k+b+1)\Gamma((k+a+b+1)/\theta)}{\Gamma((\theta+1)k+a+b+2)\Gamma(k+1+(k+a+b+1)/\theta)} \\ h_k^{\mathrm{L}} &= \theta^k \Gamma(\theta k + a + 1)\Gamma(k+1) \\ h_k^{\mathrm{Jp}} &= \frac{\Gamma(\theta k + \alpha + 1)\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(\beta - \alpha - 1 - (\theta+1)k)\Gamma((\beta-\alpha-k-1)/\theta - k + 1)}{\Gamma((\beta-\alpha-k-1)/\theta + 1)\Gamma(\beta - k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We know from (40) that $h_k = Z_{k+1}/((k+1)Z_k)$. Thus, for the Jacobi case, it follows from (22) that

$$h_k^{\mathrm{J}} = \theta^k \frac{\Gamma(\theta k + a + 1)\Gamma(k+b+1)\Gamma(k+1)}{\Gamma((\theta+1)k+a+b+2)} \prod_{l=1}^{k} \frac{\Gamma(\theta(l-1)+k+a+b+1)}{\Gamma(\theta(l-1)+k+a+b+2)}. \tag{42}$$

Moreover, using the recursive property of the gamma function, $\Gamma(z+1) = z\Gamma(z)$, we see that

$$\theta^k \prod_{l=1}^{k} \frac{\Gamma(\theta(l-1)+k+a+b+1)}{\Gamma(\theta(l-1)+k+a+b+2)} = \prod_{l=1}^{k} \frac{1}{l - 1 + \frac{k+a+b+1}{\theta}} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k+a+b+1}{\theta})}{\Gamma(k+1+\frac{k+a+b+1}{\theta})}, \tag{43}$$

which proves the proposition in the Jacobi case. The Laguerre and Jacobi prime cases follows in a similar manner. □

In the case of the Muttalib–Borodin ensembles allowing for negative eigenvalues (5), provided the weight function $w(x)$ is even, we can choose $p_k(x)$ and $q_k(x)$ to be even (odd) for k even (odd). Thus we can write

$$p_{2k+1}(x) = x\,\tilde{p}_k(x^2), \quad q_{2k+1}(x) = x\,\tilde{q}_k(x^2), \quad p_{2k}(x) = \tilde{P}_k(x^2), \quad q_{2k}(x) = \tilde{Q}_k(x^2), \tag{44}$$

for some monic polynomials $\{\tilde{p}_k\}$, $\{\tilde{q}_k\}$, $\{\tilde{P}_k\}$, $\{\tilde{Q}_k\}$. The biorthogonality condition

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(x) p_j(x) (\mathrm{sgn}\,(x))^k q_k(|x|^\theta)\, dx = h_j \delta_{j,k}, \tag{45}$$

as relevant for the determination of the correlations of (5) according to (39), is automatically satisfied for j and k of the opposite parity, while for the same parity (45) with the substitutions (44) determines $\{\tilde{p}_k, \tilde{q}_k\}$ as a biorthogonal system satisfying

$$\int_0^{\infty} x^{\theta/2} w(x^{1/2}) \tilde{p}_j(x) \tilde{q}_k(x^\theta)\, dx = h_{2j+1} \delta_{j,k}, \tag{46}$$

and $\{\tilde{P}_k, \tilde{Q}_k\}$ as a biorthogonal system satisfying

$$\int_0^{\infty} x^{-1/2} w(x^{1/2}) \tilde{P}_j(x) \tilde{Q}_k(x^\theta)\, dx = h_{2j} \delta_{j,k}. \tag{47}$$

Since with $w(x)$ given by (6), $x^{\theta/2} w(x^{1/2})$ and $x^{-1/2} w(x^{1/2})$ is of the form (6), knowledge of the biorthogonal system for the latter completely determines that of the former. In particular, for the normalisations we have the following specifications.

Proposition 3.2. *Introduce a notation analogous to that used in Proposition 2.5 to annotate the normalisations h_k, h_k^+. We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{2k}[|x|^{2c} e^{-x^2}] &= h_k^+[x^{c-1/2} e^{-x}], & h_{2k+1}[|x|^{2c} e^{-x^2}] &= h_k^+[x^{c+\theta/2} e^{-x}] \\
h_{2k}[|x|^{2c} (1-x^2)^\alpha] &= h_k^+[x^{c-1/2} (1-x)^\alpha], & h_{2k+1}[|x|^{2c} (1-x^2)^\alpha] &= h_k^+[x^{c+\theta/2} (1-x)^\alpha] \\
h_{2k}[|x|^{2c} / (1+x^2)^\alpha] &= h_k^+[x^{c-1/2} / (1+x)^\alpha], & h_{2k+1}[|x|^{2c} / (1+x^2)^\alpha] &= h_k^+[x^{c+\theta/2} / (1+x)^\alpha].
\end{aligned}$$

We remark that these inter-relations for $\{h_k\}$ are consistent with the product formula (40) and the factorisations (36).

3.2 Biorthogonal polynomials of q-type

The biorthogonal polynomials $\{q_k(x^\theta)\}$ are given by the second of the averages in (44). We have following proposition.

Proposition 3.3. *Consider the Muttalib–Borodin ensemble (1). The k-th biorthogonal polynomial, $q_k(x)$, defined through (41), can also be written as an average over the PDF (1) with $N = k$, $\theta = 1$, according to*

$$q_k(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} \frac{\mathbb{E}^{\theta=1}[s_{\mu^{(k-j)}}(x_1, \dots, x_k)]}{\mathbb{E}^{\theta=1}[s_{\mu^{(0)}}(x_1, \dots, x_k)]} x^j, \tag{48}$$

where $\mu^{(j)} = (\mu_1^{(j)}, \dots, \mu_k^{(j)})$ is the partition with

$$\mu_l^{(j)} = \begin{cases} (\theta-1)(k-l) + \theta, & l \le j, \\ (\theta-1)(k-l), & l > j. \end{cases} \tag{49}$$

Proof. Expanding the product in the formula (41) for $q_k(x)$ in elementary symmetric functions we have

$$q_k(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} \mathbb{E}[e_{k-j}(x_1^\theta, \ldots, x_k^\theta)] x^j. \tag{50}$$

Moreover, it follows from (12) that the expectation of a test function, $f(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$, with respect to the joint density (1) with $N = k$ may be written as

$$\mathbb{E}[f(x_1, \ldots, x_k)] = \frac{\mathbb{E}^{\theta=1}[f(x_1, \ldots, x_k) s_{\mu^{(0)}}(x_1, \ldots, x_k)]}{\mathbb{E}^{\theta=1}[s_{\mu^{(0)}}(x_1, \ldots, x_k)]}. \tag{51}$$

The final piece needed is to rewrite the elementary symmetric functions as Schur functions; we have

$$e_r(x_1^\theta, \ldots, x_k^\theta) = s_{(1^r)}(x_1^\theta, \ldots, x_k^\theta) = \frac{s_{\mu^{(r)}}(x_1, \ldots, x_k)}{s_{\mu^{(0)}}(x_1, \ldots, x_k)}. \tag{52}$$

Combining (50), (51), and (52) completes the proof. □

The value of the ratio of averages can be read off from Proposition 2.1 in the Jacobi case, the limit $\alpha_2 \to \infty$ of Proposition 2.1 in the Laguerre case, and Proposition 2.4 in the Jacobi prime case to give the explicit form of $q_k(x)$ in all these cases.

Corollary 3.4. *We have*

$$q_k^{\mathrm{J}}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} \binom{k}{j} \frac{\Gamma(1+a+b+k+\theta j)}{\Gamma(1+a+b+k+\theta k)} \frac{\Gamma(1+a+\theta k)}{\Gamma(1+a+\theta j)} x^j$$

$$q_k^{\mathrm{L}}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} \binom{k}{j} \frac{\Gamma(1+a+\theta k)}{\Gamma(1+a+\theta j)} x^j$$

$$q_k^{\mathrm{Jp}}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} \binom{k}{j} \frac{\Gamma(\beta-k-\theta k-\alpha)}{\Gamma(\beta-k-\theta j-\alpha)} \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha+\theta k)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha+\theta j)} x^j.$$

Proof. We will give more details for the Jacobi prime case. The ratio of averages in (48) is determined by (28). By noting from (18) that

$$\frac{s_{\mu^{(k-l)}}((1)^k)}{s_{\mu^{(0)}}((1)^k)} = \binom{k}{l},$$

and noting too that

$$\prod_{l=1}^{k} \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha+\mu_l^{(k-j)}+k-l)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha+\mu_l^{(0)}+k-l)} = \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha+\theta k)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha+\theta j)}$$

$$\prod_{l=1}^{k} \frac{\Gamma(\beta-k-\mu_l^{(k-j)}-(k-l)-\alpha)}{\Gamma(\beta-k-\mu_l^{(0)}-(k-l)-\alpha)} = \frac{\Gamma(\beta-k-\theta k-\alpha)}{\Gamma(\beta-k-\theta j-\alpha)},$$

we thus have that the ratio is fully determined, and the stated result follows. □

Remark 3.5. In the Laguerre and Jacobi cases, these results can be found in [24] and [32] respectively.

With $\{q_k(x)\}$ so determined for the weights (2) we can use the theory in the paragraph beginning with (44) to specify this same set of biorthogonal polynomials but now for the weights (6) as determined by the condition (45).

Corollary 3.6. *Annotate the notation for $q_k(x)$ by writing $q_k(x; w(x))$ in the case of the weights (6), and $q_k^+(x; w(x))$ in the case of the weights (2). We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
q_{2k}(x; |x|^{2c} e^{-x^2}) &= q_k^+(x^2; x^{-1/2+c} e^{-x}) \\
q_{2k}(x; |x|^{2c} (1-x^2)^\alpha) &= q_k^+(x^2; x^{-1/2+c} (1-x)^\alpha) \\
q_{2k}(x; |x|^{2c} /(1+x^2)^\alpha) &= q_k^+(x^2; x^{-1/2+c} /(1+x)^\alpha) \\
q_{2k+1}(x; |x|^{2c} e^{-x^2}) &= x q_k^+(x^2; x^{\theta/2+c} e^{-x}) \\
q_{2k+1}(x; |x|^{2c} (1-x^2)^\alpha) &= x q_k^+(x^2; x^{\theta/2+c} (1-x)^\alpha) \\
q_{2k+1}(x; |x|^{2c} /(1+x^2)^\alpha) &= x q_k^+(x^2; x^{\theta/2+c} /(1+x)^\alpha).
\end{aligned}$$

3.3 Biorthogonal polynomials of p-type

The biorthogonal polynomials $\{p_k(x)\}$ are given by the first of the averages in (44), which is precisely the averaged characteristic polynomial. However, evaluation of this average using Schur polynomial theory no longer gives the coefficient of x^j as a single ratio of averages of Schur polynomials, but rather as a multiple sum of such averages. The mechanism for this is most clearly illustrated by considering the class of PDFs

$$\frac{1}{C_N^\gamma[w]} \prod_{l=1}^N w(x_l) \prod_{1 \le i < j \le N} (x_i - x_j) \det[x_j^{\gamma_i}]_{i,j=1,\dots,N}, \tag{53}$$

which includes the Jacobi (20), Laguerre (26) and Jacobi prime (31) cases.

Proposition 3.7. *Denote an average with respect to (53) by $\langle \cdot \rangle^{(\gamma)}$. The averaged characteristic polynomial is given in terms of the normalisation $C_N^\gamma[w]$ in (53) according to*

$$p_k^{\{\gamma\}}(x) := \Big\langle \prod_{l=1}^N (x - x_l) \Big\rangle^{(\gamma)} = \sum_{\nu=0}^N (-1)^{N-\nu} x^\nu \sum_{1 \le l_1 < \cdots < l_{N-\nu} \le N} \frac{C_N^{\gamma^{\mathrm{l}}}[w]}{C_N^\gamma[w]}, \tag{54}$$

where $\gamma^{\mathrm{l}} = (\gamma_1^{\mathrm{l}}, \dots, \gamma_N^{\mathrm{l}})$ with

$$\gamma^{\mathrm{l}} = \begin{cases} \gamma_i + 1, & i \in \{l_1, \dots, l_{N-\nu}\} \\ \gamma_i, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{55}$$

Proof. With $e_\nu(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ denoting the ν-th elementary symmetric function, we have the expansion

$$\Big\langle \prod_{l=1}^N (x - x_l) \Big\rangle^{(\gamma)} = \sum_{\nu=0}^N (-1)^{N-\nu} x^\nu \Big\langle e_{N-\nu}(x_1, \dots, x_N) \Big\rangle^{(\gamma)};$$

cf. (50). It follows from the definition of the elementary symmetric function that

$$e_{N-\nu}(x_1,\dots,x_N)\det[x_j^{\gamma_i}]_{i,j=1,\dots,N} = \sum_{1\le l_1<\cdots<l_{N-\nu}\le N} \det[x_j^{\gamma_l}]_{i,j=1,\dots,N}$$

(note that this formula is equivalent to the Pieri formula in the theory of the Schur polynomials, see e.g. [15, Prop. 12.8.4]; another use of the Pieri formula in the evaluation of averages of characteristic polynomials can be found in [16]), so the task is to evaluate

$$\int_0^\infty dx_1\cdots\int_0^\infty dx_N \prod_{l=1}^N w(x_l) \prod_{1\le i<j\le N}(x_i-x_j)\det[x_j^{\gamma_i}]_{i,j=1,\dots,N}.$$

But by definition, this is just the normalisation $C_N^{\gamma}[w]$, so (54) follows. □

The general expression the biorthogonal polynomials $\{p_k(x)\}$ as appearing in (44) can be read off directly from Proposition 3.7 by an appropriate choice for $\{\gamma\}$. Furthermore, in many cases it possible to simplify this expression by reducing the multiple sum in (54) to a single sum. We will first show how this simplification is done in the Laguerre case. Subsequently, we will be able to extend this analysis to the Jacobi and Jacobi prime cases using appropriate modification.

Recall that in the Laguerre case (26), we have

$$C_N^{\gamma}[e^{-x}] = N!\prod_{l=1}^N \Gamma(\gamma_l+1)\prod_{1\le i<j\le N}(\gamma_i-\gamma_j). \tag{56}$$

Let us introduce a short-hand notation for the multiple sum in (54),

$$F_\nu^{\mathrm{L}}(\{\gamma_l\}_{l=1}^N) = \sum_{1\le l_1<\cdots<l_{N-\nu}\le N} \frac{C_N^{\gamma}[e^{-x}]}{C_N^{\gamma}[e^{-x}]}. \tag{57}$$

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.8. *The multiple sum* (57) *satisfies the initial condition*

$$F_0^{\mathrm{L}}(\{\gamma_l\}_{l=1}^N) = (\gamma_1+1)(\gamma_2+1)\cdots(\gamma_N+1) \tag{58}$$

and the recurrence relation

$$F_\nu^{\mathrm{L}}(\{\gamma_l\}_{l=1}^N)\Big|_{\gamma_1=-1} = F_\nu^{\mathrm{L}}(\{\gamma_l+1\}_{l=2}^N). \tag{59}$$

It follows from these formulas that

$$F_\nu^{\mathrm{L}}(\{\gamma_l\}_{l=1}^N) = \sum_{s=0}^{\nu} c_s^{(p)} \prod_{l=1}^N(\gamma_l+s+1), \quad c_s^{(\nu)} = \frac{(-1)^{\nu-s}}{(\nu-s)!s!}. \tag{60}$$

Proof. According to (57), in the case $\nu = 0$ there is only a single term in the sum, which from (55) is equal to

$$\frac{C_N^{\gamma}[e^{-x}]\Big|_{\gamma \mapsto \gamma+1}}{C_N^{\gamma}[e^{-x}]}.$$

We now read off from (56) the expression (58). Let $\{j_1, \dots, j_\nu\}$ denote $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ with $\{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{N-\nu}\}$ as appearing in (54) removed, where $j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_\nu$. We then have from the definition (55) and (56) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{C_N^{\gamma^1}[e^{-x}]}{C_N^{\gamma}[e^{-x}]} &= \prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq j_1, \dots, j_\nu}}^{N} \frac{(\gamma_l + 1 - \gamma_{j_1}) \cdots (\gamma_l + 1 - \gamma_{j_\nu})}{(\gamma_l - \gamma_{j_1}) \cdots (\gamma_l - \gamma_{j_\nu})} (\gamma_l + 1) \\ &= \prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq j_1, \dots, j_\nu}}^{N} \Big(1 + \frac{1}{\gamma_l - \gamma_{j_1}}\Big) \cdots \Big(1 + \frac{1}{\gamma_l - \gamma_{j_\nu}}\Big) (\gamma_l + 1). \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

The recurrence (59) is immediate.

On the other hand, we must have

$$F_\nu^{\mathrm{L}}(\{\gamma_l\}_{l=1}^N) = \sum_{s=0}^{N} c_s^{(\nu)} \prod_{l=1}^{N} (\gamma_l + s + 1) \tag{62}$$

for some $c_s^{(\nu)}$ independent of $\{\gamma_l\}$. The justification for this is that $F_\nu^{\mathrm{L}}(\{\gamma_l\}_{l=1}^N)$ is, from the second equality in (61), a symmetric polynomial in $\{\gamma_l\}_{l=1}^N$ with highest degree term no bigger than $e_N(\{\gamma_l + 1\}_{l=1}^N)$, and $\{\prod_{l=1}^N (\gamma_l + 1 + s)\}_{s=0}^N$ is a basis for symmetric polynomials in $\{\gamma_l\}_{l=1}^N$ with highest degree term $e_N(\{\gamma_l + 1\}_{l=1}^N)$.

Substituting (62) in the functional equation (59) shows

$$\sum_{s=0}^{N-1} s c_s^{(\nu)} \prod_{l=1}^{N} (\gamma_l + k) = \sum_{s=0}^{N-1} c_s^{(\nu-1)} \prod_{l=2}^{N} (\gamma_l + s + 1). \tag{63}$$

Equating coefficients of $\{\prod_{l=1}^N (\alpha_l + s)\}_{s=0}^{N-1}$ shows that $s c_s^{(\nu)} = c_{s-1}^{(\nu-1)}$. Furthermore, since from the second equality in (61) the coefficient of $\prod_{l=1}^N (\gamma_l + 1)$ is zero for $\nu \geq 1$, we must then have $\sum_{s=0}^N c_s^{(\nu)} = 0$, while for $\nu = 0$, $c_0^{(0)} = 1$, $c_s^{(0)} = 0$ $(s = 1, 2, \dots)$. These properties together uniquely determine $c_s^{(\nu)}$ as given in (60). □

The expression for the averaged characteristic polynomials in the Laguerre case follows directly from Proposition 3.7 and Lemma 3.8.

Corollary 3.9. *The averaged characteristic polynomials for the Laguerre case of (53) with $N = k$ are given by*

$$p_k^{\mathrm{L}\,\{\gamma\}}(x) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{k} x^\nu \sum_{s=0}^{\nu} \frac{(-1)^{k-s}}{(\nu - s)! s!} \prod_{l=1}^{k} (\gamma_l + s + 1). \tag{64}$$

In order to extend the above derivation beyond the Laguerre case, we first note that the quantity (57) is equal to $\langle e_\nu(x_1,\dots,x_N)\rangle^{(\gamma)}$. It turns out that the recurrence (59) holds independent of the weight function $w(x)$ as long as $w(0)\neq 0$.

Lemma 3.10. *Suppose $w(0)\neq 0$. We have*

$$\lim_{\gamma_N\to -1}\langle e_\nu(x_1,\dots,x_N)\rangle^{(\gamma)} = \langle e_\nu(x_1,\dots,x_{N-1})\rangle^{(\gamma+1)}, \tag{65}$$

where the average on the right-hand side is with respect to the PDF (53) with $N\mapsto N-1$.

Proof. It is generally true that

$$\lim_{\gamma_N\to -1}(1+\gamma_N)\int_0^\infty t^{\gamma_N} f(t)\,dt = f(0), \tag{66}$$

see e.g. [15, Prop. 4.1.3]; this identity plays a key role in Selberg's [35] original proof of (4). Expanding the factor $\det[x_j^{\gamma_i}]_{i,j=1}^N$ in both the numerator and denominator of $\langle e_\nu(x_1,\dots,x_N)\rangle^{(\gamma)}$ by the first row, and then applying (66) with $t=x_N$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f(t)=h_\nu(t)=w(t)\int_{[0,\infty)^{N-1}} & e_\nu(x_1,\dots,x_{N-1},t) \\ & \times\prod_{l=1}^{N-1} w(x_l)(x_l-t)\prod_{1\le j<k\le N-1}(x_j-x_k)\det[x_j^{\gamma_k}]_{j,k=1}^{N-1}dx_1\cdots dx_{N-1} \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

in the numerator, and $f(t)=h_0(t)$ in the denominator, (65) results. □

Now, examination of the proof of Lemma 3.8 shows that the simplicity of the formula (60) relies not only on the recurrence (59) but also on the factorised polynomial form of the initial condition (58). For the Jacobi weight, it follows from (20) and (21) that the right-hand side of the evaluation formula (58) needs to be multiplied by $1/\prod_{l=1}^N(\gamma_l+N+\alpha_2)$, taking us outside the class of polynomials. However, the simple dependence (58) on $\{\gamma_l\}$ again holds in the Jacobi case if we first change variables $x_j=X_j/(1+X_j)$ with $0<X_j<\infty$ so that (20) reads

$$\frac{1}{N!\,C_N^{\mathrm{J}}}\prod_{1\le i<j\le N}\frac{1}{(\gamma_i-\gamma_j)}\prod_{l=1}^N\frac{1}{(1+X_l)^{\alpha_2+N}}\prod_{1\le j<k\le N}(X_k-X_j)\det\Big[\Big(\frac{X_j}{1+X_j}\Big)^{\gamma_i}\Big]_{i,j=1}^N. \tag{68}$$

(We remark that this functional form appears in [21] as the eigenvalue PDF for random matrices $(Y^\dagger Y)^{-1}Z^\dagger Z$, with Y and Z specified as below (21).) Specifically, with respect to the PDF (68) we have

$$\Big\langle\prod_{l=1}^N X_l\Big\rangle^{(\gamma)} = \frac{C_N^{\mathrm{J}}\big|_{\alpha_2\mapsto\alpha_2-1}^{\gamma\mapsto\gamma+1}}{C_N^{\mathrm{J}}} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_2-1)}{\Gamma(N+\alpha_2-1)}\prod_{l=1}^N(\gamma_l+1) \tag{69}$$

On the other hand, we can check that the recurrence (65) remains valid for the PDF (68), and so analogous to (62) we must have

$$\langle e_\nu(X_1,\dots,X_N)\rangle^{(\gamma)} = \sum_{s=0}^N \tilde{c}_s^{(\nu,N)}\prod_{l=1}^N(\gamma_l+s+1); \tag{70}$$

here though the coefficients $\tilde{c}_s^{(\nu,N)}$ depend on N, as well as ν, unlike in (62). Substituting in (70) shows

$$\sum_{s=0}^{N} s\tilde{c}_s^{(\nu,N)} \prod_{l=1}^{N}(\gamma_l + k) = \sum_{s=0}^{N-1} \tilde{c}_s^{(\nu-1,N-1)} \prod_{l=1}^{N-1}(\gamma_l + k)$$

and thus $s\tilde{c}_s^{(\nu,N)} = \tilde{c}_s^{(\nu-1,N-1)}$. We again have the additional relation $\sum_{s=0}^{N} \tilde{c}_s^{(\nu,N)} = 0$ for $\nu \geq 1$ while for $\nu = 0$, $\tilde{c}_0^{(0,N)} = \Gamma(\alpha_2 - 1)/\Gamma(N + \alpha_2 - 1)$, $\tilde{c}_s^{(0,N)} = 0$ $(s = 1, 2, \dots)$. Consequently

$$\tilde{c}_s^{(\nu,N)} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_2 - 1)}{\Gamma(N - \nu + \alpha_2 - 1)} \frac{(-1)^{\nu-s}}{(\nu - s)!s!}, \quad s \leq \nu, \qquad \tilde{c}_s^{(\nu,N)} = 0, \quad s > \nu. \tag{71}$$

With this information, the explicit form of $\{p_k^{\mathrm{J}}(x)\}$ for the PDF (53) with $w(x) = (1 - x)^{\alpha_2 - 1}$ can be determined.

Corollary 3.11. *The averaged characteristic polynomials for (53) with $N = k$ and weight $w(x) = (1 - x)^{\alpha_2 - 1}$ are given by*

$$p_k^{\mathrm{J}\{\gamma\}}(x) = \frac{(1 - x)^k}{\prod_{l=1}^{k}(\gamma_l + k + \alpha_2)} \sum_{\nu=0}^{k} \frac{\Gamma(k + \alpha_2)}{\Gamma(k - \nu + \alpha_2)} \Big(\frac{x}{1 - x}\Big)^{\nu} \sum_{s=0}^{\nu} \frac{(-1)^{k-s}}{(\nu - s)!s!} \prod_{l=1}^{k}(\gamma_l + s + 1). \tag{72}$$

Proof. Denote an average with respect to (68) with $N = k$ by $\langle \cdot \rangle^{(\gamma,\mathrm{JX})}$, and an average with respect to (53) with $N = k$ and $w(x) = (1 - x)^{\alpha_2 - 1}$ by $\langle \cdot \rangle^{(\gamma,\mathrm{J})}$. By the change of variables $X_l = x_l/(1 - x_l)$ we have

$$\Big\langle \prod_{l=1}^{k} \Big(\frac{y}{1 - y} - X_l\Big) \Big\rangle^{(\gamma,\mathrm{JX})} = \frac{C_k^{\mathrm{J}}\big|_{\alpha_2 \mapsto \alpha_2 - 1}}{C_k^{\mathrm{J}}(1 - y)^k} \Big\langle \prod_{l=1}^{k}(y - x_l) \Big\rangle^{(\gamma,\mathrm{J})}\Big|_{\alpha_2 \mapsto \alpha_2 - 1}.$$

Rearranging this equation and making use of (21), (70) and (71), with the former summed over ν, gives (72). □

The Jacobi prime case yields to a similar approach. In the PDF (31) we change variables $x_j = X_j/(1 - X_j)$ with $0 < X_j < 1$, to obtain

$$\frac{1}{N!\, C_N^{\mathrm{Jp}}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{1}{(\gamma_i - \gamma_j)} \prod_{l=1}^{N}(1 - X_l)^{d+N-1} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (X_i - X_j) \det\Big[\Big(\frac{X_j}{1 - X_j}\Big)^{\gamma_i}\Big]_{i,j=1}^{N}. \tag{73}$$

Analogous to (69) we have

$$\Big\langle \prod_{l=1}^{N} X_l \Big\rangle^{(\gamma)} = \frac{C_N^{\mathrm{Jp}}\big|_{d \mapsto d+1}^{\gamma \mapsto \gamma+1}}{C_N^{\mathrm{Jp}}} = \frac{\Gamma(d + N + 1)}{\Gamma(d + 2N + 1)} \prod_{l=1}^{N}(\gamma_l + 1), \tag{74}$$

while the recurrence (65) remains valid. Setting $d + 2N = \beta$, these two facts allow us to deduce that

$$\langle e_\nu(X_1, \dots, X_N) \rangle^{(\gamma)} = \sum_{s=0}^{N} \alpha_s^{(\nu,N)} \prod_{l=1}^{N}(\gamma_l + s + 1), \tag{75}$$

with

$$\alpha_s^{(\nu,N)} = \frac{\Gamma(\beta - N + 1 + \nu)}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)} \frac{(-1)^{\nu - s}}{(\nu - s)! s!}, \quad s \le \nu, \qquad \tilde{c}_s^{(\nu,N)} = 0, \quad s > \nu \tag{76}$$

(cf. (70) and (71)). Repeating now the working of the proof of Corollary 3.11 gives the explicit form of $\{p_k^{\mathrm{Jp}}\}$ for the PDF (31).

Corollary 3.12. *The averaged characteristic polynomials for (53) with $N = k$ and weight $w(x) = 1/(1+x)^\beta$ are given by*

$$p_k^{\mathrm{Jp}\,\{\gamma\}}(x) = \frac{(1+x)^k}{\prod_{l=1}^k (\beta - k - \gamma_l - 1)} \sum_{\nu=0}^k \frac{\Gamma(\beta - k + \nu)}{\Gamma(\beta - k)} \Big(\frac{x}{1+x}\Big)^\nu \sum_{s=0}^\nu \frac{(-1)^{k-s}}{(\nu - s)! s!} \prod_{l=1}^k (\gamma_l + s + 1). \tag{77}$$

Knowledge of the averaged characteristic polynomial for the PDF (53) with $N = k$ and $w(x) = e^{-x}$, $(1-x)^{\alpha_2 - 1}$, $1/(1+x)^\beta$ as given by (64), (72) and (77) respectively allows us to give explicit formulas for the biorthogonal polynomials $\{p_k(x)\}$ as specified by (41) with Laguerre, Jacobi and Jacobi primes weights respectively.

Corollary 3.13. *Consider the Muttalib–Borodin ensemble (1) with $N = k$ and the weights (2). The biorthogonal polynomials $\{p_k(x)\}$ as specified by (41) are given by*

$$p_k^{\mathrm{L}}(x) = \theta^k \sum_{\nu=0}^k x^\nu \sum_{s=0}^\nu \frac{(-1)^{k-s}}{(\nu - s)! s!} \frac{\Gamma(k + (a + s + 1)/\theta)}{\Gamma((a + s + 1)/\theta)} \tag{78}$$

$$\begin{aligned} p_k^{\mathrm{J}}(x) &= (1-x)^k \frac{\Gamma((a + b + k + 1)/\theta)}{\Gamma(k + (a + b + k + 1)/\theta)} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\nu=0}^k \frac{\Gamma(k + b + 1)}{\Gamma(k - \nu + b + 1)} \Big(\frac{x}{1-x}\Big)^\nu \sum_{s=0}^\nu \frac{(-1)^{k-s}}{(\nu - s)! s!} \frac{\Gamma(k + (a + s + 1)/\theta)}{\Gamma((a + s + 1)/\theta)} \end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

$$\begin{aligned} p_k^{\mathrm{Jp}}(x) &= (1+x)^k \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta - k)} \frac{\Gamma((\beta - k - \alpha - 1)/\theta - k + 1)}{\Gamma((\beta - k - \alpha - 1)/\theta + 1)} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\nu=0}^k \Gamma(\beta - k - \nu) \Big(\frac{x}{1+x}\Big)^\nu \sum_{s=0}^\nu \frac{(-1)^{k-s}}{(\nu - s)! s!} \frac{\Gamma(k + (\alpha + s + 1)/\theta)}{\Gamma((\alpha + s + 1)/\theta)}. \end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

Proof. In (64) we substitute $\gamma_j = \theta(j-1) + a$. We substitute the same in (72) and also set $\alpha_2 = b + 1$, while in (77) we substitute $\gamma_j = \theta(j-1) + \alpha$. □

Remark 3.14. In the Laguerre and Jacobi cases, these formulas (up to the normalisation, which we have specified by requiring that the polynomials be monic, and the replacement $x \mapsto (1-x)/2$ in the Jacobi case) can be found in [5] and [32] respectively.

The theory in the paragraph beginning with (44) allows us to specify the biorthogonal polynomials $\{p_k(x)\}$ in the case of the weights (6) in terms of this families $\{p_k(x)\}$ for the weights (2) as just determined.

Corollary 3.15. *Annotate the notation for $p_k(x)$ by writing $p_k(x; w(x))$ in the case of the weights (6), and $p_k^+(x; w(x))$ in the case of the weights (2). The formulas of Corollary 3.6 again hold with each q_j replaced by p_j, and each q_j^+ replaced by p_j^+.*

Remark 3.16. The biorthogonal system specified by (45) in the case of the generalised Gaussian weight in (6) has previously been studied in [36].

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Australian Research Council, through grant DP170102028, and through the Centre of Excellence for Mathematical and Statistical Frontiers.

References

[1] W.A. Al-Salam and A. Verma, *q-Konhauser polynomials*, Pacific J. Math. **108** (1983), 1–7.

[2] C.W.J. Beenakker and B. Rejaei, *Nonlogarithmic repulsion of transmission eigenvalues in a disordered wire*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71** (1993), 3689–3692.

[3] C.W.J. Beenakker and B. Rejaei, *Random-matrix theory of parametric correlations in the spectra of disordered metals and chaotic billiards*, Physica A **203** (1994), 61–90.

[4] A. Borodin, *Biorthogonal ensembles*, Nucl. Phys. B **536** (1998), 704–732.

[5] L. Carlitz, *A note on certain biorthogonal polynomials*, Pacific J. Math. **24** (1968), 425–430.

[6] D. Cheliotis, *Triangular random matrices and biothogonal ensembles*, arXiv:1404.4730, 2014.

[7] T. Claeys and S. Romano, *Biorthogonal ensembles with two-particle interactions*, Nonlinearity **27** (2014), 2419–2444.

[8] J. Deruyts, *Sur une class de polynômes conjugès*, Mem. Cor. et Mem. de Savant Enstr., Acad. Royal des Sci. des letters et des Beaux, Art de Belgique **48** (1886).

[9] F. Didon, *Sur certains systèmes de polynpmes associés*, Annal Sci de l'Ecole Normale Sup **6** (1869), 111–125.

[10] B. Eynard and C. Kristjansen, *Exact solution of the O(n) model on a random lattice*, Nucl. Phys. B **455** (1995), 577–618.

[11] B. Eynard and J. Zinn-Justin, *The O(n) model on a random surface: critical points and large-order behaviour*, Nucl. Phys. B **386** (1992), 558–591.

[12] Z.M. Feng and J.P. Song, *Integrals over the circular ensembles relating to classical domains*, J. Phys. A **42** (2009), 325204.

[13] P.J. Forrester, *The spectrum edge of random matrix ensembles*, Nucl. Phys. B **402** (1993), 709–728.

[14] ———, *Evenness symmetry and inter-relationships between gap probabilities in random matrix theory*, Forum Math. **18** (2006), 711–743.

[15] ———, *Log-gases and random matrices*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2010.

[16] ———, *Skew orthogonal polynomials for the real and quaternion real Ginibre ensembles and generalizations*, J. Phys. A **46** (2013), 245203.

[17] ———, *Eigenvalue statistics for product complex Wishart matrices*, J. Phys. A **47** (2014), 345202.

[18] P.J. Forrester, D.-L. Liu, and P. Zinn-Justin, *Equilibrium problems for Raney densities*, Nonlinearity **28** (2015), 2265–2277.

[19] P.J. Forrester and D.-Z. Liu, *Raney distributions and random matrix theory*, J. Stat. Phys. **158** (2015), 1051–1082.

[20] P.J. Forrester and E.M. Rains, *Interpretations of some parameter dependent generalizations of classical matrix ensembles*, Prob. Theory Related Fields **131** (2005), 1–61.

[21] P.J. Forrester and D. Wang, *Muttalib–Borodin ensembles in random matrix theory — realisations and correlation functions*, arXiv:1502.07147.

[22] P.J. Forrester and S.O. Warnaar, *The importance of the Selberg integral*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. **45** (2008), 489–534.

[23] Y.V. Fyodorov and B.A. Khoruzhenko, *On absolute moments of characteristic polynomials of a certain class of complex random matrices*, Comm. Math. Phys. **273** (2007), 561–599.

[24] J.D.E. Konhauser, *Biorthogonal polynomials suggested by the Laguerre polynomials*, Pacific J. Math. **21** (1967), 303–314.

[25] K.W.J. Kadell, *The Selberg-Jack symmetric functions*, Adv. Math. **130** (1997), 33–102.

[26] J. Kaneko, *Selberg integrals and hypergeometric functions associated with Jack polynomials*, SIAM J. Math Anal. **24** (1993), 1086–1110.

[27] F. Knop and S. Sahi, *A recursion and combinatorial formula for Jack polynomials*, Inv. Math. **128** (1997), 9–22.

[28] A.B.J. Kuijlaars and D. Stivigny, *Singular values of products of random matrices and polynomial ensembles*, Random Matrices: Theory and Appl. **3** (2014), 1450011 (22 pages).

[29] Y. Kuramoto and Y. Kato, *Dynamics of one-dimensional quantum systems*, CUP, Cambridge, 2009.

[30] T. Lueck, H.-J. Sommers, and M. R. Zirnbauer, *Energy correlations for a random matrix model of disordered bosons*, J. Math. Phys. **47** (2006), 103304?24.

[31] I.G. Macdonald, *Commuting differential operators and zonal spherical functions*, Algebraic Groups, Utrecht 1986 (A.M. Cohen et al., ed.), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1271, Springer, Heidelberg, 1987, pp. 189–200.

[32] H.C. Madhekar and N.K. Thakare, *Biorthogonal polynomials suggested by the Jacobi polynomials*, Pacific J. Math. **100** (1982), 417–424.

[33] P.A. Mello, A. Pereyra, and N. Kumar, *Macroscopic approach to multichannel disordered conductors*, Ann. Phys. **181** (1988), 290–317.

[34] K.A. Muttalib, *Random matrix models with additional interactions*, J. Phys. A **28** (1995), L159?L164.

[35] A. Selberg, *Bemerkninger om et multipelt integral*, Norsk. Mat. Tidsskr. **24** (1944), 71–78.

[36] N.K. Thakare and H.C. Madhekar , *Biorthogonal polynomials suggested by the Hermite polynomials*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **17** (1986), 1031–1041.

[37] S.O. Warnaar, *q-Selberg integrals and Macdonald polynomials*, The Ramanujan J. **10** (2005), 237–268.

[38] Wikipedia, *Beta prime distribution*, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Beta_prime_distribution.